Enhancement of the Efficiency of Building Systems through Conceptual Design

Alao, T. O¹ & Ogunbode, E. B²

^{1, 2}Department of Building, Federal University of Technology, Minna. Nigeria <u>timothy.alao@futminna.edu.ng</u>

Safe and efficient structural forms are practicable provided that the structural systems or forms can be described mathematically using mechanics in structural design. The concept of enhancing the efficiency of traditional buildings using a conceptual design approach is discussed with particular emphasis on redirecting load paths, moment redistribution and eliminating design flaws. The particular references to reinforced concrete buildings are discussed, to produce structures that are both functional and safe. Two examples of representative building frames were analysed to illustrate the working principles of this approach. It has been shown that conceptual design and re-design can improve the efficiency of designs and is therefore encouraged.

Keywords: Conceptual design, moment redistribution, load path, structural efficiency

Introduction

The procedures for structural analysis and design have been well developed including production of guidelines in the form of design codes. However, the challenges of analysis and design could present certain complex challenges. The decisions on the choice of a conceptual approach may not only be confined to a theoretical field of study alone but also including practical considerations too. The principle of a conceptual approach could be considered not only as an intuitive reasoning but also a creative act to produce a structure that is not only functional but safe and also at a reduced cost. Mathematical derivations can also be adapted using natures' mechanics in structural design concepts. This can lead to innovative structural design concepts. A good example is the adaptation of the Fibonacci sequence which provides clueis)to optimal structural efficiency as demonstrated in the design of Chinese World Trade Centre (Sarkisian, 2011), which mimics bamboo's unique structural characteristics. In this case, it was shown that Bamboos diaphragm elements over the entire height are mathematically predictable (Janssen, 1991). This material is particularly ingenious in its nature and response

especially when subjected to Tsunamis to resist lateral forces.

A conceptual design process allows designers to synthesize structural systems by focusing on the overall structural implications of alternative structural layout while considering multiple conflicting architectural design criteria. This can then be followed by using numerous design softwares to aid in the more time consuming tasks of analyzing the structural frames. The principle of conceptual design would translate (Fraser, 1981; Hsu & Liu, 2000; Mola, Mola & Pellegrini, 2011; Alao & Ogunbode, 2019) to: Intuitive and knowledge based reasoning to allocate and maximize space for functionality, aesthetics and efficiency of a structural layout of a building frame. Thus

Permit development of adequate resistance to lateral forces in certain or foreseeable directions exposed to strong wind loads. Therefore, only code of practice should not be a reference material.

Avoid torsional effects and therefore avoiding undesirable stress distributions to ensure robustness of the building.

Redirecting load paths for optimal structural efficiency.

Today, Expert-based geometric modeling and parametric techniques have also been developed in order to make decisions about appropriate the most and efficient alternative structural layout concept (Fuyama et al., 1997; Grierson & Khajehpour, 2002; Mora et al., 2004; Kale, et al., 2012). This enables visualization and manipulation three-dimensional of structural models. However, most of the available application softwares have been developed for bridge designs.

Similarly, development of policies, standard practices and guidelines for basic design steps aimed at ascertaining the overall structural implications of a conceptual design solutions have also been developed by authorities (FHWA, 2012). This paper focuses on the conceptual design of reinforced concrete framed buildings to assess the implications and advantages of alternative structural layout for enhancing efficiency of conceptual design solutions.

Theoretical Analysis and Design

In practice, theoretical analysis has to be balanced with design to satisfy the limit principles of ultimate state and serviceability for both strength and deflection respectively, (BS 8110: 1997; BS EN 1992: 2005). The constraint of cost often dominates the pre-requisite requirements for designers and hence, any structural forms or options are often restricted by same to produce final design specifications. However, modern designers are often grounded in theoretical knowledge to provide solutions meeting both structural and constructability criteria to produce a balanced design. The design of any structure therefore should consider the application of a deep knowledge in both theories of structural mechanics and material science. Today, the availability of application softwares has made theoretical solutions and analytical calculations much easier using modern day computers. Synergy should therefore exist between analysis and design and should therefore be pursued. Mathematical derivations that use natures' mechanics can be used in structural design (Mola, Mola & Pellegrini, 2011; Sarkisian,

2011). Similarly, the use of genetic principles which mimics the evolution of natural reproduction and selection can also be explored to obtain organically or nature inspired solutions. Once the mathematical equations can be developed and constraint formulations or boundary conditions are well defined, the structural form can be optimized to achieve the objective or cost function desired. Similarly, there may also be an array of solutions from which an optimal solution can be selected. Nowadays, there are a number of available softwares such as Matlab that can ease the solution procedure including specialized structural analysis and design softwares such as Structural Analysis and Design Program (STAAD Pro).

The Role of Designers and Code Development:

In the analysis and design of beams, the theory of beams in bending has been used to primarily derive the behavior of the beam elements. Consequently, codes guiding the design in bending and other behaviours have also been developed to achieve design goals. It is necessary to balance the theoretical knowledge and all analytical approaches to satisfy design codes and thus enhancing creative capabilities.

Modern society would however, not accept that do not conform designs to mathematically proven solutions or designs lacking basic principles of structural mechanics. This basically is to avoid structures that do not comply with codes which may inherently not meet some safety levels. It therefore implies that creativity must embed a theoretical knowledge and principles. More precisely, engineered structures must exhibit proven mechanics, construction technique, durability and sustainability (Mosley & Bungey, 1991; Hsu & Liu, 2000; Mola, Mola & Pellegrini, 2011).

The process of structural analysis and design start with member sizing, determination of internal stresses and selection of proportions of appropriate materials to produce a final design, (Mosley

& Bungey, 1991). Subsequent checks to meet certain ultimate and serviceability requirements are carried out. However, intuitive and reasoning thorough basic underlying understanding of mechanics of materials principles could improve the efficiency of the structural form. There is also a need to query a design output to satisfy some basic requirements to improve the design output in order to obtain a functional, stable and a durable structure.

Concrete Materials and Technology

Concrete elements have erstwhile been designed based on load carrying capacity considerations. However. concrete structures can now be designed to prescribed levels of both load bearing and Similarly, durability. the interaction between concrete as a material and reinforcing steels has evolved. Concrete performance can now be measured in terms of strength, workability, compatibility, dimensional stability and resilience or more precisely, an evolution of a high concrete. performance Concrete compressive strength has increased from highest of 55N/mm² up to 105N/mm² between a threshold of 20 years (Mola et al., 2011) which has hitherto been achieved largely due to quality control. Before this time, it could only be achievable only with the use of structural steel. Before the end of 60's, concrete framed building with the highest number of floors, Pirelli Building in Italy was 127m high. In the 90's, the Telekom Malaysian Towers was 310m high and now, the Burj Khalifa Building in Dubai is 800m tall. This is because of the increase in the static efficiency of concrete depicted by equation (1). This essentially, is the relationship between the compressive strength and unit weight of concrete which can be as much as 4.7 times while the cost can marginally be 3.0 times

$$h_0 = \frac{f_c}{P_c} \tag{1}$$

Concretes are also available as a Self-Compacting Concrete (SCC) with high workability; Reduced Shrinkage Concrete which are essentially in the form of fibre reinforced concrete (FRC), (Ogunbode et al., 2017).

Code and code provisions for design of structural elements

Codes in the form of design codes have evolved over the years which are often articulated from theoretical and materials performance which are available for various materials usage. Conceptual design should therefore be judged based on both innovations/aesthetics with sound theoretical backgrounds to be able to conceive a structural form and investigate their behavior.

Unlike steel, concrete does not behave elastically near ultimate loads and therefore an elastic behavior can only be guaranteed for only low stress levels (BS 8110, 1997; BS EN 1992, 2005). Similarly, as the section approaches the ultimate moments of resistance, plastic deformation will occur. This recognition therefore allows redistribution of the elastic moments using equations 2 and 3, (Mosley & Bungey, 1991).

$$V_{AB_i} = \sqrt{(M_{MAX_i} - M_{AB_i})2w_i}$$
(2)

$$M_{BA_i} = \left(V_{AB_i} - \frac{w_i l_i}{2}\right) L_i + M_{AB_i} \tag{3}$$

for all supports A_i and B_i , span i and udl " w_i "

It is based on the premise that the exact composite behavior of the member would depend on the relative quantities of the individual steel and concrete materials. However, it can be considered that a cross section that is virtually elastic until the steel yields and plastic when the concrete completely fails in compression. This process is however applicable to an indeterminate structure. Once a beam develops its ultimate moment of resistance, then a plastic hinge develops thus resisting a constant moment. For this reason, any further stresses must be taken up partly by the adjacent part of the structure provided it will not cause crushing of the concrete.

From a design point of view, some support moments are reduced and increasing some span moments in order to maintain static equilibrium of the structure, the percentage reduction is a measure of the rotation required at a hinge forming at that section. In accordance with BS 8110 and BS EN 1992, elastic moment reduction using moment redistribution is not to exceed 30% to avoid crushing of concrete at plastic hinges. For higher floors, a limit of 10% is imposed for floors exceeding 4-storeys so that lateral instability can be avoided in the framed structure. However, redistribution in column moments is not permitted, BS 8110: 1997.

The objective of the limit state design is to achieve an acceptable probability that a structure does not become unfit for its intended use. Neither should there be overturning or buckling in any critical section. This is invariably done by dividing both material strengths and loads by factors of safety.

Analysis of moments can be obtained by a simplified method using sub-frames or using a rigorous elastic analysis, (Weaver & Gere, 1980). Basic conditions laid down to be satisfied include (BS 8110: 1997):

i) Equilibrium between internal and external forces must be maintained

ii) Ultimate moment of resistance not greater than 70% of the moment of the cross section

iii) Moment reduction must not be greater than 30%

iv) For ultimate moment of resistance as a result of the redistribution, Neutral axis depth

 $x \le (0.6 - \beta_{red})d$ (4) v) For frames providing lateral instability greater than 4-storeys, the reduction should not be greater than 10%

Load Paths

The route within the structure or a framed structure along which load 'flows' through, to the foundation could be referred to as a load path, (Fraser, 1981). As in the case of a plane frame, the load path travels through the beams, columns on to the foundation. Similarly, in case of a plane truss, the load paths travel through the struts, ties whose actions can be either compressive or tensile and transferred unto the supports to the foundation. A foundation could also be the solid earth, water as in the case of a floating crane or another part of a structure.

Structural analysis would reveal the distribution of stresses/displacements which could be horizontal translation, rotation or torsion in either x, y or z axes. Enhancing the structural efficiency of a structural system would therefore imply; identifying the structural system that can provide potential load paths. The resulting solution should not necessarily be costlier or occupying more space. The new structural form/solution could further be analyzed or probably optimized using appropriate fundamental mathematical tools for structural design. The attributes to achieve this are both inherent in the basic skill requirement and also intuitive reasoning.

In the selection of a structural form and loading a structural member, the type of forces the members are transmitting can be known through structural analysis and subsequently the load path arising from the arrangement (Weaver & Gere, 1980). It is imperative sometimes that certain acceptance criteria could not be met such as a maximum load carrying capacity, limiting deflection and torsion, conceptual design approach could be explored by redistributing load paths to make it practicable. This method would rather obtain a solution at same reasonable cost than resorting to a choice of another structural material or utilizing another structural form. The solution therefore is to identify same structural form using the same material to provide load path that will serve the same purpose. An example of a load path for the Pavilion, Raleigh, NC is shown in Figures 1(a) and (b).



(a) The mechanism



(b) The Bethlehem Steel structureFigure 1: Pavilion, Raleigh Building,(Bethlehem Steel), NC: Courtesy of Fraser,(1980)

The reinforced concrete sub-frame analysis

An analysis of two sub-frames using an elastic analysis of Stiffness method of structural analysis (Weaver and Gere, 1980) with the member and joint information are as shown in Figures 2(a) - (f) and 3(a) - (e). The beam dimensions are: overall height 450mm, thickness of slab 150mm and beam web thickness is 225mm. The young's modulus of elasticity for the two reinforced concrete frames are constant at 25KN/m² and 20KN/m² for Figures (2) and (3) respectively.

Figure 2(e) represents the initial design with interior columns and the desire to eliminate them in order to provide a clear view. The preliminary analysis of the plane frame with a uniformly distributed load of 60KN/m is shown in figure 2(f) and are presented in Tables 1(a) - (b) which

shows that serviceability requirements were not met since the actual deflection of 90mm is far higher than the limiting deflection, L/360 representing 27.7mm.

Table 1(a): Actions at end of restrained members due to loads and member actions:

		Figure 2(f))							
JOINT DISPLACEMENTS										
	JOINT	DJ1	DJ2 DJ3							
İ	1 3	3.316086E-02	9159112 -20.8	39479						
	2 -3	3.316442E-02	9159111 20.8	39479						
	3 0) 0	0							
İ	4 0) 0	0							
İ	MEMB	ER END-ACTI	ONS						ĺ	
	MEMB	ER AMI	AM2	AM3	AM4	AM5	AM6			
	1	51.61102	300	123.8938	-51.61102	300	-123.8937			
İ	2	322	-51.61102	-61.9059	-322	51.61102	-123.8938		ĺ	
	3	322	51.61102	61.9059	-322	-51.61102	123.8938			

Table 1(b): Actions at the supports and initial design span moments: Figure 2(f)

SNo-	SPAN ID	SPAN L (m)	SHEAR FORCE (KN)	SUPPORT MOMENT (KNm)	PT. OF ZERO SHEAR (m)	Mmax. ACT def (KNm) (mm	UAL elction
1	1-2	10	300	123.89	5.000	626.10690	

A modification of the frame with two parallel frames spaced at three (3) metres apart now carries a uniformly distributed load of 30KN/m over the entire span as shown in Figure 2(a) was an iterative process to relocate the interior columns by progressively adjusting the span until an optimal solution is met. Figures 2(b) and (c) represent the bending moment and the shear force diagrams respectively while figure 2(d) represents the member and joint information.



Figure 2(a): Loaded plane frame



Figure 2(b): The bending moment diagram



Figure 2(c): The shear force diagram



Figure 2(d): The member and joint information



Figure 2(e): The initial concept with interior columns



Figure 2(f): The member and joint information for the preliminary analysis

Figure 3(a) represents the interior of the sub-frame for example 2. The preliminary analysis in Table 1(c) shows that the support moment is excessively high representing 233KNm and the expression in Equation (5) is violated having the left hand side expression with a value of 0.305 which is greater than 0.156.

$$\frac{M}{bd^2 f_{cu}} \le 0.156 \tag{5}$$

Environmental Technology & Science Journal Vol. 10 Issue 1 December 2019

 Table 1(c): Actions at end of restrained members due to loads and member end actions:

 Figure 3(b)

		0					
	JOINT	DISPLACEMENTS	S				
	JOINT	DJ1	DJ2	DJ3			
	1	1.330643	6161873	-2.925019			
	2	1.193947	5137666	3.465704			
	3	0	0	0			
	4	0	0	0			
	MEMBER	END-ACTIONS					
	MEMBER	AM1	AM2 AM3	AM4	AM5	AM6	
	1	99.35313	260.428 233.0045	-99.35313	251.204	-202.5655	
	2	519.908	-99.35316 -84.92552	-519.908	99.35316	-233.0046	
	3	510.684	99.35313 115.3645	-510.684 -	-99.35313 2	202.5655	
İ							

A modification of the design is not feasible and limiting cost would not permit the use of alternative material or structural form. The beam was designed as a simply supported beam and all serviceability requirements were met. Figures 3(b) and (c) represent the initial bending moment and the shear force diagrams respectively while figure 3(d) represents the member and joint information.



Figure 3(b): The bending moment diagram



Figure 3(c): The shear force diagram



Figure 3(d): The member and joint information



Figure 3(e): The alternative Bending Moment Diagram

Analysis and discussion of results

The resulting rotation corresponding to the bending moment in Figure 2(b) is still very large and there is the need to carry out a moment redistribution using equations (2) and (3). The resulting values after iteration yields a mid-span moment of 195KNm as against 167.93KNm yielding a moment reduction of 25.69% which is still below the

threshold of the limits suggested by the code of practice BS 8110 and BS EN 1992. The Actions at the end of restrained members due to loads and the member end actions are as shown in Table 2(a). The values of the initial unknown actions are shown in Figure 2(b) and the iterative history for the moment redistribution is also shown in Table 2(c).

Similarly, the load path has changed as there is upper vertical translation at i) supports 5 and 8 in Figure 2(d), which ii) necessitates a combined footing for the foundation. iii)

Similarly, for example 2, a computer aided design would not have revealed the iv) apparent design error. The load path has been properly redefined and serviceability requirements are now met. The resulting maximum bending moment can now be calculated as in equation (6) as:

$$M_{max} = \frac{Wl^2}{8} \tag{6}$$

This represents a value of 422.1KNm

Benefits of the load path

This offers:

A reduction in center span moment

Reduction of interior support and end-moments

Change in the mechanism of redistributing the load by introducing a combined footing for transfer of axial loads and moments Provides a clear interior span with full vision within the hall Prevented sway

Table 2(a): Actions at end of restrained members due to loads and member actions

ACTIONS	AT END	OF	RESTRAINED	MEMBERS	DUE TO	LOADS	5		
MEMBER	AML1		AML2	AML3	AML4		AML5	AML6	
1	0		22.5	5.625	0		22.5	-5.625	
2	0		150	250	0		150	-250	
3	0		22.5	5.625	0		22.5	-5.625	
MEMBER	END-ACTI	ONS							
MEMBER	AM1		AM2	AM3	AM4		AM5	AM6	
1	-1.42E	-02	-106.2417	-3.106E-	02 1.42	2E-02	151.24	-193.0815	
2	5.813	061	150	207.070	1 -5.81	13	150	-207.0701	
3	-1.42E	-02	151.2418	193.081	6 1.42	2E-02	-106.2418	3.10E-02	
4	-95.24	171	1.42E-02	2.01E-0	2 95.2	24171	-1.42E-02	3.10E-02	
5	305.2	417	-5.827281	-6.98965	9 -305	.2417	5.827281	-13.98855	
6	305.2	417	5.827282	6.98966	-305	.2417	-5.827282	13.98855	
7	-95.24	178	-1.42E-02	-2.01E-0	2 95.2	24178	1.42E-02	-3.10E-02	

Table 2(b): Actions at	the supports and initial	design span moments
	11	

SNo-	SPAN ID	SPAN L (m)	SHEAR FORCE (KN)	SUPPORT MOMENT (KNm)	PT. OF ZERO SHEAR (m)	(KNm)	ACTUAL defelction (mm)
1	2-3	10	150	207.07	5.000	167.930	23.697

Table 2(c): Iterative history for	r moment redistribution
-----------------------------------	-------------------------

SNo-	INITIAL SUPPORT MOMENT	UDL	LENGHT	VL	VR	MID SPAN MOMENT	I REDUCED MOMENT	PERCENTAGE REDUCTION
1	207.07	30	10	150.4134	149.5866	170	-202.9356	1.996619
-	-						-	-
-	-						-	-
-	-						-	-
2	207.07	30	10	152.3949	147.6051	180	-183.1212	11.56558
3	207.07	30	10	155.3197	144.6803	195 -	153.8733	25.69021

Conclusion

As demonstrated in the plane frame examples, a safer and efficient structure can be achieved by employing rational solutions through conceptual design. In contrast to building collapse arising from poor building material usage, design errors arising from concept could similarly result in defective structures and therefore, adherence to code requirements should not be a substitute for intuitive reasoning to produce structures that are efficient and safe. A conceptual design through exploring alternative structural layouts should be explored. They are usually at no extra cost and can produce not only a safe but an efficient structure. Collaborations between design teams to produce functional design solutions is encouraged in order to avoid conflicting architectural design concepts. An Expertbased geometric modeling and parametric techniques should also be explored to aid this process for building structures.

References

- Alao, T. O. & Ogunbode, E. B. (2019). Enhancing the Performance of Laterite-cement Brick Buildings: A Conceptual Design and Specifications Writing Approach. *Proceedings of the* 10th West African Built Environment Research Conference, 5th – 7th August. Accra, Ghana. Pp 508 – 521
- BS 8110: Part 1 (1997). *Structural Use of Concrete* - Part 1: Code of Practice for Design and Construction. The British Standard Institute.
- BS EN 1992: (2005). *Design of concrete structures Part 1*: General Rules and Rules for Building. European Committee for Standardization.

- FHWA (2012). Conceptual Studies and Preliminary Design. United States Federal Highway
- Authority. Available at <u>http://www.fhwa.gov/publications</u>. [July 20, 2019].
- Fraser, D. J. (1981). Conceptual Design and Preliminary Analysis of Structures. London: Pitman Publishing Inc.
- Fuyama, H., Law, K.H., & Krawlinker, H. (1997). An Interactive Computer-Assisted System for Conceptual Structural Design of Steel Buildings. *Computers and Structures*, 63(4), 647-662.
- Grierson, D. E., & Khajehpour, S. (2002). Method for Conceptual Design applied to Office Buildings, Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering, 16(2), 83-103.
- Hsu, W. & Liu, B. (2000). Conceptual design: Issues and challenges. *Computer-Aided Design*, 32(14), 849-900.
- Janssen, J. J. A. (1991). Mechanical Properties of Bamboo. New York: Springer,
- Kale, S. J., Chore, H. S. & Dode, P. A. (2012). An Expert System Based Conceptual Design of Bridges: An Overview. *IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering*. 29 32.——Available at. <u>http://www.iosrjournals.org</u>. [July 20, 2019].
- Mola, F., Mola, E. & Pellegrini, L. M. (2011). Recent Developments in the Conceptual Design of R. C. and P. C. Structures. *36th Conference on Our World in Concrete and Structures*. Singapore, August 14-16.

- Mosley, W. & Bungey, J. H. (1991). *Reinforced Concrete Design* (4th Edition). Macmillan Publishers, London.
- Mora, R., Rivard, H. & Bedard, C. (2004).
 Computer-Aided Conceptual Design of Building Structures: Geometric Modelling for the Synthesis Process.
 Proceedings of the 1st International Conference of Design Computing and Cognition. July 19-21. MIT, Cambridge, USA. Pages 37-55.
 Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Ogunbode, E. B., Kure, M. A., Alao, T. O., Muhoro, I. S. & Adamu, B. (2019).

Shrinkage Properties of Kenaf Bio Fibrous Concrete Composite for Sustainable Construction. *Environmental Technology and Science Journal*, 10(1), 14-29.

- Sarkisian, M. (2011). Tall Building Design Inspired by Nature. 36th Conference on Our World in Concrete and Structures. Singapore, August 14-16.
- Weaver, W. (Jnr) & Gere, J. M. (1980). *Matrix Analysis of Framed Structures* (2nd Edition). New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold Company Inc..